UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/740,698	12/19/2003	Signe Erickson Varner	SRM0061/US/2	3885
72870 Kagan Binder, l	7590 07/01/201 PLLC	EXAMINER		
221 Main Street		MEHTA, BHISMA		
Suite 200 Stillwater, MN	55082		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/740,698	VARNER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BHISMA MEHTA	3767		

	BHISMA MEHTA		3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shee	t with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR AI	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a replies: (1) an amendme eal (with appeal fee) in c	a Notice of A ent, affidavit compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the dater than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b	m the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspond shortened statutory period than three months after th	ding amount o for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second conte	nsideration and/or seard w); ter form for appeal by m	ch (see NOT	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice	of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (f	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 68-74,76-119,122-127,129 and 132-14 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	rided below or appende		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections ι	inder appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	·		condition for allowand	ce because:
13. Other:	(···		
	/Bhisma Meh Primary Exam		nit 3767	

Continuation of 11.: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

As to the arguments in line 13 of page 2 to line 23 of page 3, in Applicant's specification, the device has been disclosed as having a non-linear shape and has also been disclosed as having deviations from a linear path. However, there is no disclosure or support that the distinction between linear or non-linear is through following the "longitudinal axis" of the device. The claims are drawn to a non-linear shaped member and the outer surface of the body member of Weiner does provide a non-linear shape to the body member. The broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification has been applied to the "non-linear shaped body member" to indicate a body member which has a surface that does not follow a straight line such as one that has turn or angles. In lines 21-26 of page 7 of the specification, Applicant discloses that the device can have multiple turns or angles and the body member of Weiner does have multiple turns or angles. Even though Applicant states that "the overall shape of the capsule is linear" with regards to the device of Weiner, the body member of the different embodiments of the device of Weiner are not entirely linear and, thus, the shape of the devices are non-linear. As to the arguments in line 24 of page 3 to line 30 of page 4, Weiner only discloses that injection is preferred as a way of inserting the device into the body. Weiner also discloses the device being inserted without injection where "a slight twirling motion" is preferred during the insertion to facilitate entry. Modifying the device of Weiner to have a coil or zig-zag shape along its entire length would still enable one to insert the device with a slight twirling motion as disclosed by Weiner.

As to the arguments in lines 1-25 of page 5, reducing the cross-sectional diameter would not considerably limit the amount of drug that could be placed in the device of Weiner as modifying the device of Weiner would still result in the device being of the same overall size such that approximately the same amount of drug could be placed in the device. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would recognize that the concentration of the drug in the device could be adjusted to correlate with the size of the device.

As to the arguments in line 26 of page 5 to line 8 of page 6, the primary reference of Weiner teaches a device that is insertable through an incision and the device of Rosenman is indicated as being insertable or capable of being inserted through an incision. Thus, modification of the device of Weiner to have a coil or zig-zag shape would still lead to a device that is insertable through an incision as claimed. As to the arguments in line 9 of page 6 to line 17 of page 8, Rosenman is not a dissimilar area of medical treatment as both Weiner and Rosenman disclose implantable devices and methods for inserting the implantable devices such that a drug or medicament can be delivered to a specific location in the patient's body.

As to Applicant's arguments in line 18 of page 8 to line 15 of page 9, the problem solved by the Rosenman technology does correlate to the problem solved of the current application as Rosenman discloses implantable devices of different shapes to allow for the delivery of a drug or medicament to a particular location in the patient's body. Furthermore, Weiner also discloses the use of implantable device of different shapes to deliver the drug to the desired location in the patient's body